

A. Quarteroni, F. Saleri, P. Gervasio  
**Scientific Computing**  
 4th Edition. Springer, Milan 2014

**Errata Corrige** (April 11, 2023)

**page 99:**

The thesis of Prop. 3.3 is only valid when the nodes  $x_i$  are equispaced.

**page 102, line 1:**

“rhs = [der0; rhs; dern];” becomes “rhs = [der0\*0.5; rhs; dern\*0.5];”

**page 224, line 2:**

“and set  $\mathbf{x}_i^{(0)} = \tilde{\mathbf{x}} + \eta \mathbf{e}_i$  for  $i = 0, \dots, n$ ,”

becomes

“and set  $\mathbf{x}_0^{(0)} = \tilde{\mathbf{x}}$  and  $\mathbf{x}_i^{(0)} = \tilde{\mathbf{x}} + \eta \mathbf{e}_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ,”

**page 227:**, formula (7.32)

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{2}{5} - \frac{1}{10}(5x_1^2 + 5x_2^2 + 3x_1x_2 - x_1 - 2x_2)e^{-(x_1^2+x_2^2)}$$

becomes

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{2}{5} - \frac{1}{10}(5x_1^2 + 5x_2^2 + 6x_1x_2 - x_1 - 2x_2)e^{-(x_1^2+x_2^2)}$$

**page 240:**, formula (7.50) (*Fletcher-Reeves (1964)*)

$$\beta_k^{FR} = -\frac{\|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{(k)})\|^2}{\|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{(k-1)})\|^2}$$

becomes

$$\beta_k^{FR} = -\frac{\|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)})\|^2}{\|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{(k)})\|^2}$$

**page 240:**, formula (7.51) (*Polak-Ribière (1969)*)

$$\beta_k^{PR} = -\frac{\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{(k)})^T (\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{(k)}) - \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{(k-1)}))}{\|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{(k-1)})\|^2}$$

becomes

$$\beta_k^{PR} = -\frac{\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)})^T (\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)}) - \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{(k)}))}{\|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{(k)})\|^2}$$

**page 240:**, formula (7.52) (*Hestenes-Stiefel (1952)*)

2

$$\beta_k^{HS} = -\frac{\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{(k)})^T (\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{(k)}) - \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{(k-1)}))}{\mathbf{d}^{(k-1)T} (\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{(k)}) - \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{(k-1)}))}$$

becomes

$$\beta_k^{HS} = -\frac{\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)})^T (\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)}) - \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{(k)}))}{\mathbf{d}^{(k)T} (\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)}) - \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{(k)}))}$$

**page 309, line 16–18:**

“ This  $\lambda$  is a candidate to replace the one entering in the stability conditions (such as, e.g., (8.30)) that were derived for the scalar Cauchy problem.”

becomes

“ This  $\lambda$  is the natural candidate to replace the one entering in the stability condition (8.30) derived for the Cauchy scalar problem. When instead the eigenvalues of  $A(t)$  are complex, they all need to satisfy the condition (8.30).”

**page 322, line 14:** “The function `ode23s` implements a linear implicit multistep method based on Rosenbrock methods”

becomes:

“The function `ode23s` implements a linear implicit one-step method based on Rosenbrock methods”